


Appl 10/840,210  
Amdt dated August 7, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of February 7, 2006

**REMARKS**

Claims 12 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mounfield ('979). Claim 13 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounfield in view of Antonious ('994). Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounfield, in view of Moore and Shenoha. Claims 12 to 15 have been canceled herein.

Based upon the foregoing, it is submitted that this case and all claims contained herein are in condition for allowance and same is respectfully requested. However, if Examiner Kim finds any remaining issues, he is requested to contact the undersigned directly by telephone in an attempt to resolve those remaining issues prior to issuing any further written office actions.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles J. Prescott  
Reg. No. 30,316  
Charles J. Prescott, P.A.  
2033 Wood Street, Suite 115  
Sarasota, Florida 34237  
(941) 957-4208

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is being deposited in the U.S. Mail, first class postage paid, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, this August 7, 2006.

  
Charles J. Prescott